```
NICOLA T. HANNA
 1
    United States Attorney
 2
    PATRICK R. FITZGERALD
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, National Security Division
    JENNIE L. WANG (Cal. Bar No. 233392)
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Deputy Chief, Cyber & Intellectual Property Crimes Section
 5
         1500 United States Courthouse
         312 North Spring Street
 6
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-2450
 7
         Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
         E-mail:
                     jennie.wang@usdoj.gov
 8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
 9
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
10
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. CR 17-206(A)-JAK
13
              Plaintiff,
                                        GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING POSITION
                                        REGARDING DEFENDANT TREVON MAURICE
14
                                        FRANKLIN; EXHIBITS
                   v.
15
    TREVON MAURICE FRANKLIN,
                                        Sentencing Date: Sep. 27, 2018
                                        Sentencing Time: 8:30 a.m.
16
              Defendant.
                                        Location:
                                                          Courtroom of the
                                                          Hon. John A.
17
                                                          Kronstadt
18
19
         Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel
20
    of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of
21
    California and Assistant United States Attorney Jennie L. Wang,
22
    hereby files its sentencing position regarding defendant TREVON
23
    MAURICE FRANKLIN.
24
         This sentencing position is based upon the attached memorandum
25
    of points and authorities and Exhibits A and B attached hereto, the
26
    Presentence Investigation Report disclosed on August 15, 2018, the
27
    //
28
    //
```


1	files and records in this case,	and such further evidence and
2	argument as the Court may permit	. .
3	Dated: September 12, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
4		NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney
5		PATRICK R. FITZGERALD
6		Assistant United States Attorney Chief, National Security Division
7		0
8		/s/ JENNIE L. WANG
9		Assistant United States Attorney
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
11		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

2.2

2.4

On June 14, 2018, defendant TREVON MAURICE FRANKLIN ("defendant") pleaded guilty pursuant to a plea agreement to the sole count of the First Superseding Information, misdemeanor criminal copyright infringement, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 506(a)(1)(B) and 18 U.S.C. §§ 2319(a), (c)(3). (Docket 40, Presentence Investigation Report ("PSR") ¶¶ 1-2.) For the reasons set forth below, the government recommends the high-end sentence of six months' imprisonment, to be followed by a one-year term of supervised release, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

II. THE PSR

The U.S. Probation Office's ("USPO's") PSR, which was disclosed on August 15, 2018, determined that defendant's total offense level is 8, based on the following:

Base Offense Level:	8	[U.S.S.G. § 2B5.3(a)]
Distribution of Work Being Prepared for Commercial Distribution:	+2	[U.S.S.G. § 2B5.3(b)(2)]
Uploading:	+2	[U.S.S.G. § 2B5.3(b)(3)]
No Financial Gain:	-2	[U.S.S.G. § 2B5.3(b)(4)]
Acceptance of Responsibility ¹ :	-2	[U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1]

(PSR $\P\P$ 20-30.) All but the second factor were also agreed upon by the parties in the plea agreement. (Docket 25, Plea Agreement \P 10.) Because the parties agreed in the plea agreement that either party could seek other Sentencing Guidelines adjustments, id. \P 9, the

¹ The government agrees with the PSR's finding of the adjustment for acceptance of responsibility.

government agrees with the USPO that an additional two levels should be added pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B5.3(b)(2) for defendant's distribution of a work being prepared for commercial distribution. However, these additional two levels do not change defendant's resulting guideline range.

The PSR also calculated a criminal history category of I based on no criminal history points. (PSR ¶ 37.) Defendant's resulting advisory guideline range based on a level eight is zero to six months' imprisonment. For the reasons set forth below, the government recommends a custodial sentence at the high end of that range of six months' imprisonment, to be followed by a one-year period of supervised release, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

III. OFFENSE CONDUCT

On February 20, 2016, defendant uploaded an unauthorized copy of the movie <code>Deadpool</code> that he had downloaded from the Internet to his Facebook account, under the name "Tre-Von M. King." (PSR ¶¶ 10-11.) The victim in this case, Twentieth Century Fox Corporation ("Fox"), is the copyright holder of the <code>Deadpool</code> movie franchise. (Id. ¶ 10.) When defendant uploaded <code>Deadpool</code> to his Facebook account, the movie was still being shown in theaters and had not yet been made available for the public to rent or purchase. (Id. ¶ 12.) The copy that defendant made available to others to view on Facebook was viewed over 6 million times in the two days between February 20 and 22, 2016. (Id.)

Attached as Exhibit A is a copy of screenshots of defendant's Facebook page from February 22, 2016. (Exhibit ("Exh." A.)

Defendant posted on his Facebook page on February 20, 2018, at 8:20

```
p.m.: "TO ALL MY FACEBOOK FRIENDS, I HOPE YOU ENJOY DEADPOOL THE FULL
 1
 2
    MOVIE, WHICH IS POSTED ON MY WALL. I GOT YALL FOR THE JUGG 100 8"
    (Id. at TMPID00039; see also id. at TMPID00045 (defendant posted at
 3
    8:08 p.m. earlier that same day: "DEADPOOL (2016) FULL MOVIE WITH
 4
    GOOD AUDIO #LIKE #SHARE #COMMENT").) As described herein and
 5
    evidenced by Exhibit A, defendant interacted heavily with other
 6
 7
    Facebook users who commented on his uploading of Deadpool. (See
 8
    generally Exhibit A.) Some users commented that defendant had
 9
    uploaded the full movie and that they were able to watch it. (See,
    e.g., id. at TPMID00011 (Roger Palmer posted: "Greg, this dude has a
10
11
    full fuckin video of Deadpool on his fuckin facebook"); TMPID00016
    (Gabrielle K Neal posted: "Yay!!! Just watched ya deadpool movie
12
13
    thanks a lot!"); TMPID00022 (Shawn Lewis messaged defendant: "Bro my
14
    friends in Oklahoma even watched your video Damn," to which
15
    defendant responded by "liking" the message); TMPID00032 (Van
16
    Helsingden Dylan posted: "Can I still download it? Lol," to which
    defendant responded, "Better hurry"); TMPID00039 (Marvin Ford
17
    messaged defendant: "Bro really uploaded. Whole movie joint on here
18
19
    lol," to which defendant responded by "liking" the message);
20
    TMPID0043 (Devon Fernandez posted: "Thnx for posting it I thought I
    was never gonna see it c: " Defendant replied, "Welcome." Shawn
21
22
    Miller posted: "Thanks bro it was awesome"); TMPID00044 (Benjamin
    Brooks posted: "Thanks for the movie big dog," to which defendant
23
24
    replied, "Welcome"); TMPID00048 (Zeke Torres posted: "they really
25
    have the whole movie on here" and Jack Rogers posted: "this is how I
26
    watched deadpool").)
```

Defendant also indicated that he planned to continue illegally uploading movies. (See, e.g., id. at TMPID00026 (Tim Conklin posted:

27

28

"You gunna get that new batman and superman? Defendant responded,
"Wait on it, I got yall"); TMPID00029 (Avinash Brian Dabideen posted:
"On behalf of Trinidad, thanks for #deadpool. Can we expect the same
great service for #TMNT2 please? Defendant responded, "Yesir will
do").) Defendant even created a Facebook group for the purpose of
illegally sharing movies with others, posting "EVERYBODY JOIN" in
relation to the Facebook group he created called "BOOTLEG MOVIES."
(Id. at TMPID00011; see also id. at TMPID00013 (defendant posted: "I
Just Started A New Group Called 'Bootleg Movies' Go Look It Up And
Join #IfYouFuckWithMe #FuckWitIt100 That's Where I'm Post More Movies.
That's Coming Out.").) Defendant also admitted that the link he
provided was available to the public. (Id. at TMPID00017 (Krystal
May posted: "When I look up bootleg movies the page doesn't pop up..
Can you fix that? Defendant responded, "Its public, I don't know
what you want me to fix?").)

Many Facebook users warned defendant that his uploading of Deadpool was a crime. (See, e.g., id. at TPMID00014 (Sean Dalton posted: "A reminder." accompanied by a screenshot of the following text: "(i) 'The unauthorized reproduction or distribution of a copyrighted work is illegal. Criminal copyright infringement, including infringement without monetary gain, is investigated by the FBI and is punishable by fines and federal imprisonment.'" Sean Dalton also posted: "Take notice, it mentions nothing about profit. You're depriving profit from the studio. I'd say roughly \$20Mil now." Sammy Hijazin responded: "It's already viral"); TPMID00015 (Joe Byrne posted: "5 years federal prison. Huge fine. Have fun with this."); TMPID00043 (in response to another user calling defendant a "FB legend," John Corral posted: "He is not a legend... Its basically

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

like he killed someone and is walking around covered in blood bragging about it expecting not to be caught.. He is going to be prosecuted and go to jail. That is not legend. That is dumbass").) Defendant's reactions to being told that uploading Deadpool to Facebook was a federal crime ranged from nonchalance to irritation. (See, e.g., id. at TMPID00016 (defendant posted: "I'm fucking straight, why tf yall haters worried about MY LIFE OR WHAT'S GONE HAPPEN TO ME"); TMPID00022 (defendant posted: "All I did was upload it, as far as the shares, likes, and comments I can't controll what people do on fb just what I do. So hate on the fact, that I still dgaf about yall irrelevant ass individuals #ThatPart"); TMPID00024 (defendant posted: "I blocked that, opp ass n***a for tryna tag FBI n shit"); TPMID00030 (defendant posted: "If it's a crime, why my shit ain't got reported??? That part, Just stfu already"); TMPID00033 (Will Laraby posted: "From behind bars? I didn't know you got the new movies in jail?" Defendant responded by correcting his grammar: "*get"); TMPID00034 (Chris Shanks posted: "oh man..the ignorance of people. 'You aren't profiting' so it's ok to show pirated movies. Man, people like you make it easy for Feds or Police to find you. That's like robbing a bank and then posting it online. lol smh. . . . " Defendant replied, "Fuck off"); TMPID00037 (Cody Thomas posted: "Why's everyone mad you did this? I think it's awesome you posted this. Fuck paying them money . Thanks for the vid man!" Defendant replied, "Idk but it's getting annoying smh"); TMPID00042 (defendant posted: "Thank everyone that didn't comment anything negative Fuck those who did or still is 100").) Defendant also insisted that he would not go to jail for his crime: "Fuck 12, I got the ultimate way out of this, yall might be surprised on how I won't

go to jail but just become more famous." (<u>Id.</u> at TMPID00035; <u>see</u>

<u>also id.</u> at TMPID00022 (defendant posted: "Woke up to see DeadPool

got 2.7 million views damn Daniel! I see all these ppl talking

the Feds gone get me, well where they at??? I'm still going up");

TMPID00024 (Greg Zeller posted: "You're a fucking idiot, they're

coming buddy just wait," to which defendant responded, "Waiting

...."); TMPID00034 (defendant posted: "Still waiting....");

TMPID00035 (defendant posted: "That's why I'm just sitting back

smoking out my bong laughing at these mfs who think they know what

they talking I haven't sold shit to anyone, or made copies. I haven't

done anything illegal 100."); TMPID00038 (defendant posted: "FUCK THE

SYSTEM100").)

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE SECTION 3553(A) FACTORS

A. Nature and Circumstances of the Offense

Section 3553(a)(1) requires consideration of the nature and circumstances of defendant's crime in determining an appropriate sentence. While defendant's crime is a misdemeanor due to the "complex issues of fact related to the cause or amount of the victim's losses . . ." (PSR Letter at 1), the offense conduct itself is serious, particularly in light of defendant's attitude about it. Given that the government has not pursued any loss related to defendant's crime (despite the fact that in two days alone, the video of Deadpool that defendant uploaded was viewed over six million times, and there is evidence that numerous people watched the movie in its entirety), defendant has already effectively been given a reduction in his sentence. Defendant's guidelines range therefore represents an extremely fair assessment of the punishment that he should face. Given the brazen and public manner in which defendant

both flouted the law (which was pointed out to him by several Facebook users) and appeared not to care that he was willfully infringing on Fox's copyright (which was also pointed out to him by Facebook users), and given defendant's insistence that he was not going to suffer any consequences for his crime, other than to "become more famous" (Exh. A at TMPID00035), a custodial sentence of six months' imprisonment is both necessary and sufficient to address the nature of circumstances of the offense and to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense. See 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(A).

B. History and Characteristics of Defendant

Section 3553(a)(1) also calls for factoring the history and characteristics of defendant into his sentence. In mitigation, defendant has a son. However, in aggravation, defendant's criminal history underscores what the USPO has mildly characterized as his "respect for the law . . . not [being] what it should be":

Although [defendant] does not have any prior convictions, [he] has been charged with violent crimes involving his child, his child's mother, and another individual. This crime allegedly occurred after he committed the instant offense. While on pretrial supervision, Franklin drank excessively and smoked marijuana."

(PSR Letter at 5.) Attached as Exhibit B is a copy of the Fresno Police Departments reports regarding the domestic violence incident on May 23, 2017, during which defendant was arrested for assaulting his then-pregnant ex-girlfriend in front of their son. (Exh. B.) His ex-girlfriend and the mother of his child ("V-1") reported:

. . . [Defendant] hit her. V-1 described the first hit to be a slap to her left side of the face followed by a punch to the left side of her face as well. In shock, V-1 asked [defendant] why had had hit her and she began getting attacked by [defendant]. She said [defendant] began hitting multiple times to the body. Thier [sic] child was

inside the stroller and almost got knocked out of it during the struggle.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

(<u>Id.</u> at TMPID00368.) Moreover, the May 23, 2017 incident was not the first for which police were called to respond. The reported domestic violence history on defendant included police responding on November 16, 2015 (victim reported that defendant "puts his hands on [her]") (<u>id.</u> at TMPID00374); March 15, 2016 (victim reported that defendant punched her two times in the thigh) (<u>id.</u>); and February 15, 2017 (victim reported that defendant grabbed her neck when they were exchanging their child) (<u>id.</u> at TMPID00375).

This history considered in conjunction with defendant's performance while on pretrial supervision for the instant case shows that a custodial sentence is necessary to address defendant's consistent and apparently escalating disrespect for the law. after defendant was arrested for the instant offense and released pending resolution of this case, defendant had several instances of not complying with the conditions of his release. (See Docket 41, PSA Letter Requesting Order to Show Cause Hearing as to Defendant ("PSA Letter").) Defendant admitted to drinking alcohol to excess at least four times a week; admitted to using marijuana on August 1, 2018, and again on August 27, 2018, when he advised that he used marijuana "daily"; stated that he knew there was an active restraining order against him restricting him from contacting V-1 but was living with her; and admitted that "he knows he missed court for his domestic violence case and knows he has an active bench warrant for a failure to appear." (Id. at 2.) After this Court ordered defendant on September 6, 2018, to address his outstanding bench warrant, defendant's counsel reported that he did so. (Docket 45,

Defendant's Status Report.) These facts serve to underscore the need for this Court to address defendant's history of noncompliance and lack of respect for the law with a custodial sentence.

C. Deterrence

Section 3553(a)(2)(B) requires sentences to "to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(B). Here, a custodial sentence of six months' imprisonment will do just that, as it will show the general public that piracy is a serious offense with serious consequences. Indeed, defendant's own words indicate that he believed the opposite was true. (See Exh. A.) Without the necessary consequence to defendant of a custodial sentence, all those thousands of Facebook users who liked, followed, and posted about defendant's illegal uploading of Deadpool, as well as the general public could erroneously believe that people do not suffer real consequences for such a crime, which could have detrimental effects on the movie industry and the individuals who make their livelihood from it.

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the government respectfully requests that this Court sentence defendant to a sentence of six months' imprisonment, to be followed by a one-year period of supervised release, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.